there was no significant difference between Letrozole and Letrozole plus Zoledronic Acid groups (p = 0.26). Apoptosis did not change between the three groups.

Conclusions: Letrozole reduces proliferation by 70% when used for 14 days prior to surgery. Zoledronic Acid administration prior to surgery is safe but when administered as a single dose at a median of 3 days before surgery does not alter apoptosis or proliferation compared to Letrozole alone.

111 Poster

SOFIA: phase II study of neoadjuvant epirubicin, cyclophosphamide (EC) + sorafenib (S) followed by paclitaxel (Pw) + sorafenib (S) in women with previously untreated primary breast cancer (BC) (GBG 45)

S. Loibl[7], B. Conrad[1], M. Warm[2], K. Schwedler[3], B. Gerber[4], I. Schrader[5], H. Eidtmann[6], M. Linder[7], K. Mehta[8], G. von Minckwitz[7]. [1]*Elisabeth-Krankenhaus, Brustzenrum, Kassel, Germany;* [2]*Universitätsfrauenklinik, Brustzentrum, Köln, Germany;* [3]*Universitätsfrauenklinik, Brustzentrum, Frankfurt, Germany;* [4]*Universitätsfrauenklinik, Brustzentrum, Rostock, Germany;* [5]*Frauenklinik, Brustzentrum, Hannover, Germany;* [6]*Universitätsfrauenklinik Schleswig-Holstein, Brustzentrum, Kiel, Germany;* [7]*German Breast Group, Medicine and Research, Neu-Isenburg, Germany;* [8]*German Breast Group, Statistics, Neu-Isenburg, Germany*

Background: Sorafenib is an oral RAF/RAS kinase inhibitor with antiangiogenetic potential. In metastatic BC it shows modest single agent activity but prolongs survival in combination with capecitabine. EC-P is a standard neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen suitable to be combined with new drugs. Sorafenib might affect the pharmacokinetic (PK) of anthracyclines.

Materials and Methods: Sofia is an open-label, multicentre, single-arm, phase II study. Inclusion criteria were: uni- or bilateral, HER2−, cT3, cT4 or cT2 cN+, M0 primary BC. Pts received 4× E 90 mg/m^2 and C 600 mg/m^2 i.v. q3w followed by 12 weeks Pw 80 mg/m^2 q1w. S 800 mg was given concomitantly with the chemotherapy with a 3 day drug holiday around EC. PK samples were taken during the first 2 EC cycles. After an amendment in 9/2008 S dose started with 200 mg and escalated up to 800 mg at every EC cycle depending on pts' individual toxicity. Primary objective was the rate of pCR at time of surgery; main secondary objectives were the assessment of safety, DFS, OS and clinical response.

Results: Between 11/2007 and 5/2009, 24 patients (pts) were recruited in 6 centres. 12 pts received EC-P starting with 800 mg S (cohort 1) and 12 pts EC-P with a dose escalation of S during EC (cohort 2). The whole population median age was 43 (range 28−67). 87.5% had a T2 tumour, 54.2% were N+. 54.2% with a G3, ductal invasive (78.3%), hormone receptor pos (58.3%) tumour. In cohort 1 the median daily dose was 400 mg and the cumulative dose 611,400 mg. The following grade 1−4 toxicities were reported: hand-foot syndrome (HFS) 11, other skin reactions 15, diarrhea 2, mucositis 6, hypertension 1; 12 pts started therapy and 4 pts discontinued early due to AEs; 2× due to progression, interrupted treatment (9). In cohort 2 the median daily S dose was 700 mg and the cumulative dose 892,400 mg. Toxicities grade 1−4: HFS 6, other skin reactions 7, diarrhea 2, mucositis 7. 12 pts started therapy. 1 pt discontinued early due to allergic reaction. No patients stopped due to skin toxicities. The maximum tolerable dose was 400 mg (N = 2), 600 mg (N = 4), 800 mg (N = 6).

Conclusion: This is the first study evaluating S in a neoadjuvant setting in pts with primary BC. The individual dose escalation of S offers is an excellent tool to reduce treatment discontinuation and HFS and will increase the cumulative dose S given by 46%. Two additional cohorts of EC-P and P-EC with S with a reduced dose escalation phase are ongoing. PK results will be presented at the meeting.

112 Poster

Luminal-like oestrogen receptor-positive breast cancer: identification of prognostic biological subclasses

H.O. Habashy[1], D.G. Powe[1], G. Ball[2], E. Glaab[3], D. Soria[3], J. Garibaldi[3], N. Krasnogor[3], A.R. Green[1], C. Caldas[4], I.O. Ellis[1]. [1]*University of Nottingham, Department of Histopathology School of Molecular Medical Sciences, Nottingham, United Kingdom;* [2]*Nottingham Trent University, School of Science and Technology, Nottingham, United Kingdom;* [3]*University of Nottingham, School of Computer Science, Nottingham, United Kingdom;* [4]*Cambridge Research Institute, Cancer Research UK Li Ka-Shing Centre, Cambridge, United Kingdom*

Background: Gene expression studies have been able to classify breast cancer (BC) into a number of different classes. The luminal BC group is complicated by biological heterogeneity indicating the presence of subclasses. The biological characterisation of ER-positive luminal-like subtypes could have important implications in patient management.

Methods: Our study aimed at subclassifying ER-positive luminal-like cancers according to their gene and protein expression characteristics. Using gene microarray experiments in 128 frozen invasive BC, 47,293 gene transcripts were analysed using different bio-statistical models. In addition, we used immunohistochemistry and high throughput tissue microarray technology to study the protein expression of 16 biomarkers with strong relevance to ER including FOXA1, TFF1, CD71, CARM1, PELP1, RERG, TK1, TFF3, XBP1, BCL2, Cyclin B1, FOXO3a, P27, C-MYC, BEX1 and AGTR1 in a well characterised consecutive series of invasive BC (n = 1902). The data were analysed using artificial neural network and different clustering methods including Hybrid hierarchical, K-means and Partitioning Around Medoids. Kaplan Meier plots with Log-rank test (LR) were used to model clinical outcome.

Results: We identified a transcript signature for ER positive BC including RERG, GATA3, IGF1R, CA12, and others by a supervised classification analysis using 10-fold external cross-validation of the gene microarray data. Immunohistochemical validation study was done for RERG and confirmed its association with ER positive BC. GATA3 expression was validated using QPCR. Through a consensus between different clustering techniques applied over protein expression data, three biological clusters in ER positive breast cancer, with significant difference in patient outcome (LR = 8.084, $p = 0.018$), have been identified. Decision tree analysis of the protein expression identified a minimal protein signature of the identified clusters including TFF3 and P27. Importantly, the poor prognosis cluster was significantly characterised by a high MIB1 proliferation index ($p = 0.013$).

Conclusion: In conclusion, our results emphasise the biological and behavioural heterogeneity of ER-positive luminal BC. More importantly, we have identified a signature for ER-positive luminal-like BC and the existence of luminal subclasses that differ with respect to patient outcome.

113 Poster

Is the breast-conserving treatment with radiotherapy appropriate in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers? Long term results and review of the literature

Y. Kirova[1], A. Savignoni[1], B. Sigal-Zafrani[1], A. de La Rochefordiere[1], R. Salmon[1], P. This[1], B. Asselain[1], D. Stoppa-Lyonnet[1], A. Fourquet[1], Institut Curie Breast Ovary Cancer Risk Study Group. [1]*Institut Curie, Radiation Oncology, Paris, France*

Background: Because tumours in *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers might be more sensitive to radiation, we investigated after long term follow-up whether mutation status influenced the rate of ipsilateral and contralateral breast cancers after breast-conserving treatment (BCT).

Material and Methods: *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* genes were screened for germline mutations in 131 patients with a family history of breast and/or ovarian cancer who had undergone BCT and radiotherapy. Patients were matched to 261 controls with sporadic breast cancer according to age at diagnosis and year of treatment. Controls were followed up for at least as long as the interval between diagnosis and genetic screening in familial cases. Rates of ipsilateral and contralateral cancer between groups were compared by the log-rank test.

Results: *BRCA1/2* mutations occurred in 20.6% of tested patients. Tumours in mutation carriers were more likely to be grade III ($p < 10^{-4}$) and estrogen-receptor negative ($p = 0.005$) than in non-carriers and controls. Overall median follow-up was 161 months. There was no significant difference in ipsilateral tumours between mutation carriers, non-carriers and controls ($p = 0.13$). On multivariate analysis, age was the most significant predictor for ipsilateral recurrence ($p < 10^{-3}$). The rate of contralateral cancer was significantly higher in familial cases: 40.7% (mutation carriers), 20% (non-carriers), and 11% (controls) ($p < 10^{-4}$).

Conclusion: After 13.4 years of follow-up, the rate of ipsilateral tumours was no higher in mutation carriers than in non-carriers or controls. Because tumours in *BRCA1/2* mutation carriers might be more sensitive to radiation, BCT is a possible treatment option.